

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vingnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/745,178	12/19/2000	Hans-Jurgen Johann	4476 US	7564	
7	7590 08/07/2003				
Martin A. Farber Suite 473 866 United Nations Plaza			EXAMINER		
			PEREZ, GUILLERMO		
New York, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834 DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		09/745,178		JOHANN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Guillermo Perez		2834			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply							
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state apply received by the Office later than three months after the maid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, howe ply within the statutory min d will apply and will expire ute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	9 May 2003 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
_ a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language p	rovisional application	on has been rece	eived.			
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev	04.04)	Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 20030804			

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim needs a period (.) after "another" to close the sentence. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Greenway (U. S. Pat. 5,649349).

Referring to claim 6, Greenway discloses a rotor for a DC machine comprising:
a multiplicity of armature laminations (28) arranged axially one behind the other,
each of which laminations (28) is provided with a locating bore (30), the laminations (28)
being connected non-displaceable to one another by holding (18) to form an armature
core (figure 3) configured for receiving a motor shaft (column 4, lines 43-45); wherein

the locating bore (30) of each of said armature laminations (28) is arranged eccentrically in the respective armature lamination (30); and wherein

groups of armature laminations, are arranged with rotation relative to one another.

Art Unit: 2834

Referring to claim 7, Greenway discloses a rotor for a DC machine comprising:
a multiplicity of armature laminations (28) arranged axially one behind the other,
each of which laminations (28) is provided with a locating bore (30), the laminations (28)
being connected non-displaceable to one another by holding (18) to form an armature
core configured for receiving a motor shaft (column 4, lines 43-45); wherein

the locating bore (30) of each of said armature laminations (28) is arranged eccentrically in the respective armature lamination (28); and wherein

groups of armature laminations, are arranged with rotation relative to one another by one pole pitch, wherein the pole pitch is less than 180 degrees.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1-3, 5, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greenway (U. S. Pat. 5,649,349) in view of Hickey (U. S. Pat. 4,136,296).

Greenway discloses a rotor for a machine comprising:

a multiplicity of armature laminations (28) axially one behind the other, which are provided with a locating bore (30) and are connected non-displaceable to one another

Art Unit: 2834

by holding (18) to form an armature core (figure 3), which is pushed onto a motor shaft (column 4, lines 43-45), wherein

the locating bore (30) of each armature lamination (28) is arranged slightly eccentrically (figures 1 and 3) in the armature lamination (28); and wherein

a. the individual armature laminations (28) of the armature core

or

- b. groups of armature laminations are arranged such that
 - they are turned in relation to one another by at least one pole pitch

<u>or</u>

2. the locating bore (16) is formed as a contoured locating hole.

Greenway discloses that each armature lamination (10) is arranged on the motor shaft such that it is turned with respect to the adjacent the armature lamination by 45° (column 7, lines 58-63).

However, Greenway does not disclose that the motor shaft is of a smooth form.

Hickey discloses a DC machine in which the motor shaft (31) is of a smooth form for the purpose of holding the laminations under pressure.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to modify the rotor of Greenway and provide it with shaft configuration disclosed by Hickey for the purpose of holding the laminations under pressure.

Art Unit: 2834

\$10.00

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine the pole pitch at 45 degrees since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Referring to claim 1, no patentable weight has been given to the method of manufacturing limitations (i. e. pushed as a whole onto the motor shaft) since "even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 19, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to Applicant's remark that the subject matter of claims 6-7 was not rejected, it must be noted that these claims and its subject matter were addressed with figure 4.

Conclusion

This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/745,178. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been

Art Unit: 2834

finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guillermo Perez whose telephone number is (703) 306-5443. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308 1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305 3432 for regular communications and (703) 305 3432 for After Final communications.

¥ 27 1

Application/Control Number: 09/745,178

Art Unit: 2834

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0956.

Guillermo Perez August 4, 2003

Thomas M. Congress

Page 7